

# ACCELERATING HEAVY-FLAVOUR PARTICLE PHYSICS WITH HPC

Jonathan Flynn<sup>1</sup>, **Ryan Hill**<sup>2</sup>, Andreas Jüttner<sup>1,3</sup>, Amarjit Soni<sup>4</sup>, J. Tobias Tsang<sup>3</sup>, Oliver Witzel<sup>5</sup> (RBC-UKQCD collaborations)

Dirac Project dp207

<sup>1</sup>Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK, <sup>2</sup>School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK, <sup>3</sup>Theoretical Physics Department, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, <sup>4</sup>Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, USA, <sup>5</sup>Center for Particle Physics Siegen, Universität Siegen, Siegen, Germany

# THE STANDARD MODEL

The **Standard Model** is our working theory of fundamental physics. > No confirmed contradictions by experiment.

However, it cannot explain all observed phenomena and coincidences:

### PRECISION PHYSICS WITH DIRAC RESOURCES



⊳ Dark matter, gravity, hierarchy problem, etc.

 $\overline{u}/\overline{d}/\overline{s}$ 

Evidence of new physics that explains these observations is required.

Signals of **new physics** will appear as **tensions** between the Standard Model and experiment. By increasing the **precision** of calculations and experimental results, we may confirm such tensions as new physics.

## WHY HEAVY FLAVOUR?

A heavy *b* quark decaying to a *u* quark frees a huge amount of **energy**.  $\blacktriangleright$  High energy  $\Rightarrow$  **more likely** to see new physics.

> The "CKM matrix" is a critical part of any quark-decay equation.

 $\triangleright$  Quantifies how likely a quark is to decay into another.

▷ 3 up-type (u, c, t), 3 down-type quarks (d, s, b)  $\Rightarrow 3 \times 3$  matrix.

 $ightarrow |V_{ub}|$  is the **least-precisely determined** CKM element.

 $\triangleright$  Improving the **precision** on  $|V_{ub}|$  may resolve **effects of new physics**!

Figure 2: Left: The extrapolation of our previous lattice data for  $f_+(q^2)$  across six ensembles to the physical point [1]. See Figure 3 for a comparison of the lattice spacing and masses for these ensembles. **Right**: The extrapolation of our previous physical result for  $f_+(q^2)$  across the full momentum range [1, 2]. Also shown is  $f_0(q^2)$ , another form factor with a sub-leading influence on  $|V_{ub}|$ .

To turn our lattice calculations into physical predictions, we must extrapolate our results down to those corresponding to physical-mass particles and zero lattice spacing.

The computing power of the **DiRAC** supercomputer "**Tursa**" has made it possible to:

- Compute our new C0 ensemble results with physical masses,
- Recompute our other data points using current algorithmic advances.
- > Substantially improves the statistical precision on these data.



Figure 1: Left: An area plot of the magnitudes of the CKM elements, showing the clear CKM hierarchy. **Right:** A similar plot of the precision to which the CKM elements are known, normalised to that of  $|V_{ub}|$ .

We calculate this matrix element with equations similar to

 $\frac{d\Gamma}{dq} \propto |V_{ub}|^2 |f_+(q^2)|^2, \qquad |V_{ub}| \text{ appears in any decay featuring } b \to u. \text{ Here we only show semileptonic } B_s \to K \text{ meson decays, but calculate many more.} \\ \hline \text{Experiment: Decay rates } \frac{d\Gamma}{dq} \\ \hline \text{Theory: "QCD form factors" } f_+(q^2) \end{aligned}$ 

### **QCD ON A LATTICE**

**QCD** is the quantum field theory of **strong-force** interactions. At **low energy scales**, QCD form factors like  $f_+(q^2)$  cannot be calculated with the standard **pen-and-paper** technique of perturbation theory. These new data will allow us to obtain even **more precise** calculations of  $f_+(q^2)$ , and therefore of  $|V_{ub}|$ . In turn, this pushes forward the boundaries of the **precision frontier** and brings us closer to finding signals of **new physics**.



Figure 3: A plot of the lattice spacing and measured pion mass on the seven ensembles entering the updated calculation. The new C0 ensemble will provide a significantly stronger constraint on the mass extrapolation than the other ensembles.

#### Acknowledgements

We use an *ab initio* approach: Lattice QCD.

Evolution of quark fields described on a finite, discrete spacetime.
This spacetime grid must be:

- Fine enough to resolve different quarks correctly,
- ▷ Large enough to stop the fields "feeling" the box edges, ▷  $\geq O(10^7 \sim 10^8)$  lattice sites for cutting-edge calculations.
- Results are obtained by inverting matrices on these lattices.
- Requires large memory, fast node comms, intense flops!
- Cheaper results can be obtained at non-physical masses.
- $\triangleright$  We term a set of simulation parameters an **ensemble**.

Observables must be extrapolated to the continuum.

New calculations are performed using the libraries Grid (github.com/paboyle/grid) and Hadrons (github.com/aportelli/hadrons).



This work used the DiRAC Extreme Scaling service Tursa at the University of Edinburgh, managed by the Edinburgh Parallel Computing Centre on behalf of the STFC DiRAC HPC Facility (www.dirac.ac.uk). The DiRAC service at Edinburgh was funded by BEIS, UKRI and STFC capital funding and STFC operations grants. DiRAC is part of the UKRI Digital Research Infrastructure.

References

[1] J. M. Flynn et al. *Exclusive semileptonic*  $B_s \rightarrow K\ell\nu$  *decays on the lattice*, Phys. Rev. D. **107** (2023) 114512 arXiv:2303.11280 [hep-lat] [2] J. M. Flynn, A. Jüttner, and J. T. Tsang, *Bayesian inference for form-factor fits regulated by unitarity and analyticity*, arXiv:2303.11285 [hep-ph]